## **Recursive Formulae** 1

Suppose that we want to compute  $2^n \mod M$  for some numbers  $n \ge 0$  and  $M \ge 2$ .  $2^n$  can require a lot of digits to write down for large n, and we want to avoid that, since the end result is < M.

Our first attempt avoids multiplication and only uses addition modulo M. We use the fact that  $2^n = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} \pmod{M}$ .

```
function PowerOfTwo(n, M):
   if n = 0 then
   return 1
   return (PowerOfTwo(n-1, M) + PowerOfTwo(n-1, M)) \mod M
```

What is the runtime of the above algorithm?

- $O \Theta(n)$
- $\Theta(2^n)$
- $O \Theta(\log n)$

Correct

Now let us replace this algorithm with an iterative one that stores the results:

```
A \leftarrow \text{array indexed with } 0, \dots, n
A[0] \leftarrow 1
for i = 1, ..., n do
 A[i] \leftarrow (A[i-1] + A[i-1]) \mod M
return A[n]
```

What is the runtime of the above algorithm?

- $\bigcirc$   $\Theta(n)$
- $O \Theta(2^n)$
- $O \Theta(\log n)$

Correct

What if we are allowed to use multiplication? Suppose that n is a power of two.

```
B \leftarrow \text{array indexed with } 0, \dots, \log n
B[0] \leftarrow 2
for i = 1, ..., \log n do
 B[i] \leftarrow (B[i-1] \times B[i-1]) \mod M
return B[\log n]
```

What is the value of B[i] in the above algorithm?

- O  $2^i \mod M$
- O  $2^{i^2} \mod M$

Correct

What is the runtime of this algorithm?

- $\bigcirc \ominus(n)$
- $O \Theta(2^n)$
- $\bigcirc$   $\Theta(\log n)$

Correct

What if n is not a power of two? We can run the following slightly modified algorithm:

```
B \leftarrow \text{array indexed with } 0, \dots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor
B[0] \leftarrow 2
for i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor do
 B[i] \leftarrow (B[i-1] \times B[i-1]) \mod M
Let the binary representation of n be (x_{|\log n|}x_{|\log n|-1}\cdots x_0).
R \leftarrow 1
for i = 0, \ldots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor do
     if x_i = 1 then
      R \leftarrow (R \times B[i]) \mod M
return R
```

What is the runtime of this algorithm?  $O \Theta(n)$ 

 $O \Theta(2^n)$ 

2

- $\Theta(\log n)$

Remark: A clever algorithm inspired by the above can compute Fibonacci(n) modulo a desired number

Correct

M, in time  $O(\log n)$ . As a challenge, try to use the following identity involving Fibonacci numbers and matrix multiplication, to come up with this  $O(\log n)$  algorithm.  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Fibonacci}(n) \\ \mathsf{Fibonacci}(n-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Fibonacci}(n-1) \\ \mathsf{Fibonacci}(n-2) \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$[Fibonacci(n-1)] \qquad [1 \quad 0] \ [Fibonacci(n-2)]$$

## Suppose that we have a weighted graph with n vertices and m edges and no negative cycles (so shortest

**Shorest Paths** 

paths are well-defined). Suppose for the below questions that our implementation of Dijkstra uses red-black trees (and not Fibonacci heaps). If  $m = n^{1.5}$ , and we want to find the shortest path between some u and v which algorithm should we use? We prefer algorithms with the smallest worst-case runtime.

O Dijkstra Bellman-Ford

- O Floyd-Warshall
- O Two or more of the above algorithms are correct and have the smallest worst-case runtime.
- What if all the edges have nonnegative weight?

O Bellman-Ford

O Floyd-Warshall

Dijkstra

- O Two or more of the above algorithms are correct and have the smallest worst-case runtime.
- Correct Suppose that we have a graph with  $m = n^{1.5}$  edges that all have nonnegative weights. Which algorithm

should we use to find the shortest path between all pairs of vertices?

O  $n^2$  runs of Dijkstra n runs of Dijkstra

- O  $n^2$  runs of Bellman-Ford O n runs of Bellman-Ford
- O Floyd-Warshall
- O Two or more of the above algorithms are correct and have the smallest worst-case runtime.
- Suppose that we have a graph with  $m = \Theta(n^2)$  edges that all have nonnegative weights. Which

Correct

algorithm should we use to find the shortest path between all pairs of vertices?

- O  $n^2$  runs of Dijkstra O *n* runs of Dijkstra
- O  $n^2$  runs of Bellman-Ford O n runs of Bellman-Ford
- Floyd-Warshall
- O Two or more of the above algorithms are correct and have the smallest worst-case runtime.

Correct