

# Lecture 10

Finding strongly connected components

# Last time

- Graph representation and depth-first search (DFS)
- Plus, applications!
  - Topological sorting
  - In-order traversal of BSTs
- The key was paying attention to the structure of the tree that the search algorithm implicitly builds.

# Last time

- Breadth-First Search (BFS) with an application:
  - Shortest path in unweighted graphs
  - (**Note:** on the slides from last week there's another application to testing bipartite-ness – we didn't get to that in lecture due to time constraints, but you might want to check out the slides if you are interested!)

Does DFS work for testing bipartite-ness?



# Today

- One more application of DFS:


## Finding **Strongly Connected Components**

- But first! Let's briefly recap DFS...

Today, all graphs are **directed**!  
Check that the things we did  
last week still all work!


# Recall: DFS




It's how you'd explore a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string.



# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string







-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.

This is the same picture we had in the last lecture, except I've directed all the edges. Notice that there **ARE** cycles.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 7

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string



-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.







Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 8



# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 9

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 10

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 12

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string



-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.







Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 16



# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 17

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 18

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.






Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node.

# Depth First Search

Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




-  Not been there yet
-  Been there, haven't explored all the paths out.
-  Been there, have explored all the paths out.



Recall we also keep track of **start** and **finish** times for every node. 20

# Depth First Search


Exploring a labyrinth with chalk and a piece of string




# Depth first search

implicitly creates a tree on everything you can reach

**YOINK!**




Call this the  
"DFS tree"




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**



# When you can't reach everything


- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**






# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**




# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**



# When you can't reach everything

- Run DFS repeatedly to get a **depth-first forest**



# Recall:

(Works the same with DFS forests)

- If  $v$  is a descendent of  $w$  in this tree:



- If  $w$  is a descendent of  $v$  in this tree:




- If neither are descendants of each other:



(or the other way around)

If  $v$  and  $w$  are in different trees, it's always this last one.

DFS tree






Enough of review


Strongly connected components

# Strongly connected components

- A directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  is **strongly connected** if:
- for all  $v, w$  in  $V$ :
  - there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  and
  - there is a path from  $w$  to  $v$ .




strongly connected



not strongly connected

# We can decompose a graph into **strongly connected components (SCCs)**


(Definition by example)



Definition by definition: The SCCs are the equivalence classes under the “are mutually reachable” equivalence relation.

# Why do we care about SCCs?


Consider the internet:



Let's ignore this corner of the internet for now...but everything today works fine if the graph is disconnected.

# Why do we care about SCCs?

Consider the internet:



(In real life, turns out there's one "giant" SCC in the internet graph and then a bunch of tendrils.)

# Why do we care about SCCs?

- Strongly connected components tell you about **communities**.
- Lots of graph algorithms only make sense on SCCs.
  - So sometimes we want to find the SCCs as a first step.
  - E.g., algorithms for solving 2-SAT (you're not expected to know this).

$$(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee z) \wedge (\neg y \vee \neg z)$$

- E.g., economist who has to first break up his labor market data into SCCs in order to make sense of it

# How to find SCCs?

## Try 1:


- Consider all possible decompositions and check.

## Try 2:

- Something like...
  - Run DFS a bunch to find out which  $u$ 's and  $v$ 's belong in the same SCC.
  - Aggregate that information to figure out the SCCs

Come up with a straightforward way to use DFS to find SCCs. What's the running time?  
More than  $n^2$  or less than  $n^2$ ?

Think: 1-2 minutes.  
Pair+Share: (wait) 1 minute



# One straightforward solution

- SCCs = [ ]
- For each u:
  - Run DFS from u
  - For each vertex v that u can reach:
    - If v is in an SCC we've already found:
      - Run DFS from v to see if you can reach u
      - If so, add u to v's SCC
      - Break
    - If we didn't break, create a new SCC which just contains u.

This will not be our final solution so don't worry too much about it...




Running time AT LEAST  $\Omega(n^2)$ , no matter how smart you are about implementing the rest of it...




# Today

- We will see how to find strongly connected components in time  $O(n+m)$
- !!!!!
- This is called Kosaraju's algorithm.



# Pre-Lecture exercise

- Run DFS starting at D:



- That will identify SCCs...
- Issues:
  - How do we know where to start DFS?
  - It wouldn't have found the SCCs if we started from A.

# Algorithm

Running time:  $O(n + m)$

- Do DFS to create a DFS forest.
  - Choose starting vertices in any order.
  - Keep track of finishing times.
- Reverse all the edges in the graph.
- Do DFS again to create **another DFS forest**.
  - This time, order the nodes in the reverse order of the finishing times that they had from the first DFS run.
- The SCCs are the different trees in the **second DFS forest**.



# Look, it works!

- (See Python notebook)

```
In [4]: print(G)
```


```
CS161Graph with:  
  Vertices:  
  Stanford,Wikipedia,NYTimes,Berkeley,Puppies,Google,  
  Edges:  
  (Stanford,Wikipedia) (Stanford,Puppies) (Wikipedia,Stanford) (Wikipedia,NYTimes)  
  (Wikipedia,Puppies) (NYTimes,Stanford) (NYTimes,Puppies)  
  (Berkeley,Stanford) (Berkeley,Puppies) (Puppies,Google) (Google,Puppies)
```

```
In [5]: SCCs = SCC(G, False)  
for X in SCCs:  
    print ([str(x) for x in X])
```


```
['Berkeley']  
['Stanford', 'NYTimes', 'Wikipedia']  
['Puppies', 'Google']
```

But let's break that down a bit...


# Example



# Example




# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.

# Example






# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.


# Example



# Example




# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.

# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.

# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.

# Example




# Example



1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.




# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.

# Example




1. Start with an arbitrary vertex and do DFS.


# Example




# Example




# Example




# Example



# Example




# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the largest finish time.




# Example




3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

# Example




# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.

# Example




3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.




# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example



3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.


# Example




3. Do DFS again, but this time, start with the vertices with the **largest finish time**.

Notice that I'm not changing the start and finish times – I'm keeping them from the first run.

# Example



# Example







One question



# The SCC graph




- Pretend that each SCC is a vertex in a new graph.

# The SCC graph

**Lemma 1:** The SCC graph is a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).

**Proof idea:** if not, then two SCCs would collapse into one.




# Starting and finishing times in a SCC

Definitions:


- The **finishing time** of a SCC is the **largest finishing time** of any element of that SCC.
- The **starting time** of a SCC is the **smallest starting time** of any element of that SCC.

Start: 0  
Finish: 9




# Our SCC DAG with start and finish times

- Last time we saw that Finishing times allowed us to **topologically sort** of the vertices.
- Notice that works in this example too...



# Main idea


- Let's reverse the edges.



# Main idea


- Let's reverse the edges.
- Now, the SCC with the largest finish time has no edges going out.
  - If it did have edges going out, then it wouldn't be a good thing to choose first in a topological ordering!
- If I run DFS there, I'll find exactly that component.
- Remove and repeat.

Start: 2  
Finish: 5



Start: 0  
Finish: 9

Start: 10  
Finish: 11



Let's make this idea formal.




# Recall

- If  $v$  is a descendent of  $w$  in this tree:




- If  $w$  is a descendent of  $v$  in this tree:



- If neither are descendants of each other:




(or the other way around)




As we saw last time...

**Claim:** In a DAG, we'll always have:




# Same thing, in the SCC DAG.

- **Claim:** we'll always have




# Let's call it Lemma 2

- If there is an edge like this:



- Then  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

# Proof idea




Want to show  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

- **Two cases:**


- We reached **A** before **B** in our first DFS.
- We reached **B** before **A** in our first DFS.

# Proof idea




Want to show  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

- **Case 1:** We reached **A** before **B** in our first DFS.
- Say that:
  - **y** has the largest finish in **B**;  $B.\text{finish} = y.\text{finish}$
  - **z** was discovered first in **A**;  $A.\text{finish} \geq z.\text{finish}$
- Then:
  - Reach **A** before **B**
  - $\Rightarrow$  we will discover **y** via **z**
  - $\Rightarrow$  **y** is a descendant of **z** in the DFS forest.



aka,  
 $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$

# Proof idea




Want to show  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

- **Case 2:** We reached **B** before **A** in our first DFS.
- There are no paths from B to A
  - because the SCC graph has no cycles
- So we completely finish exploring B and never reach A.
- A is explored later after we restart DFS.

aka,  
 $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$

# Proof idea



Want to show  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

- **Two cases:**
  - We reached **A** before **B** in our first DFS.
  - We reached **B** before **A** in our first DFS.
- In either case:

**$A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$**

which is what we wanted to show.




Notice: this is exactly the same two-case argument that we did last time for topological sorting, just with the SCC DAG!



This establishes:  
Lemma 2


- If there is an edge like this:



- Then  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

This establishes:  
**Corollary 1**

- If there is an edge like this in the **reversed graph**:




- Then  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$ .

# Now we see why this finds SCCs.

Remember that after the first round of DFS, and after we reversed all the edges, we ended up with this SCC DAG:

- The Corollary says that **all blue arrows point towards larger finish times.**
  - So if we start with the largest finish time, **all blue arrows lead in.**
  - Thus, that connected component, and only that connected component, are reachable by the second round of DFS
- 
- Now, we've deleted that first component.
  - The next one has the **next biggest finishing time.**
  - So **all remaining blue arrows lead in.**
  - **Repeat.**



Start: 2  
Finish: 5

Start: 0  
Finish: 9

Start: 10  
Finish: 11

# Formally, we prove it by induction

- **Theorem:** The algorithm we saw before will correctly identify strongly connected components.
- **Inductive hypothesis:**
  - The first  $t$  trees found in the second (reversed) DFS forest are the  $t$  SCCs with the largest finish times.
- **Base case: ( $t=0$ )**
  - The first 0 trees found in the reversed DFS forest are the 0 SCCs with the largest finish times. **(TRUE)**

# Inductive step [drawing on board to supplement]

- **Assume by induction that the first  $t$  trees are the last-finishing SCCs.**
- Consider the  $(t+1)^{\text{st}}$  tree produced, suppose the root is  $x$ .
- Suppose that  $x$  lives in the SCC  $A$ .
- Then  $A.\text{finish} > B.\text{finish}$  for all remaining SCCs  $B$ .
  - This is because we chose  $x$  to have the largest finish time.
- Then there are no edges leaving  $A$  in the remaining SCC DAG.
  - This follows from the Corollary.
- Then DFS started at  $x$  recovers exactly  $A$ .
  - It doesn't recover any more since nothing else is reachable.
  - It doesn't recover any less since  $A$  is strongly connected.
  - (Notice that we are using that  $A$  is still strongly connected when we reverse all the edges).
- **So the  $(t+1)^{\text{st}}$  tree is the SCC with the  $(t+1)^{\text{st}}$  biggest finish time.**

# Formally, we prove it by induction

- **Theorem:** The algorithm we saw before will correctly identify strongly connected components.
- **Inductive hypothesis:**
  - The first  $t$  trees found in the second (reversed) DFS forest are the  $t$  SCCs with the largest finish times.
- **Base case:** *[done]*
- **Inductive step:** *[done]*
- **Conclusion:** The second (reversed) DFS forest contains all the SCCs as its trees!
  - (This is the **IH** when  $t = \#SCCs$ )

Punchline:  
we can find SCCs in time  $O(n + m)$

Algorithm:

- Do DFS to create a **DFS forest**.
  - Choose starting vertices in any order.
  - Keep track of finishing times.
- Reverse all the edges in the graph.
- Do DFS again to create **another DFS forest**.
  - This time, order the nodes in the reverse order of the finishing times that they had from the first DFS run.
- The SCCs are the different trees in the **second DFS forest**.



(Clearly it wasn't obvious since it took all class to do! But hopefully it is less mysterious now.)

# Recap

- Depth First Search reveals a very useful structure!
  - We saw last week that this structure can be used to do **Topological Sorting** in time  $O(n + m)$
  - Today we saw that it can also find **Strongly Connected Components** in time  $O(n + m)$
  - This was pretty non-trivial.



# Next time

- Dijkstra's algorithm!

## BEFORE Next time

- Pre-lecture exercise: weighted graphs!