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## Background on Induction

- Type of mathematical proof
- Typically used to establish a given statement for all natural numbers (e.g. integers > 0)
- Proof is a sequence of deductive steps
- Show the statement is true for the first number.
- Show that if the statement is true for any one number, this implies the statement is true for the next number.
- If so, we can infer that the statement is true for all numbers.

Let P be some predicate.
The principle of mathematical induction states that if

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} . P(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& . . \text { then it's } \\
& \text { always true. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Intuition of Induction



Thinking of climbing a ladder:

1. Show you can get to the first rung (base case)
2. Show you can get between rungs (inductive step)
3. Now you can climb forever!

## Components of Inductive Proof

Inductive proof is composed of 3 major parts :

- Base Case : One or more particular cases that represent the most basic case. (e.g. $n=1$ to prove a statement in the range of positive integer)
- Induction Hypothesis : Assumption that we would like to be based on. (e.g. Let's assume that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$ holds)
- Inductive Step : Prove the next step based on the induction hypothesis. (i.e. Show that Induction hypothesis $P(k)$ implies $P(k+1)$ )

Weak Induction vs Strong Induction:

- In weak induction, we only assume that particular statement holds at k-th step,
- In strong induction, we assume that the particular statement holds at all the steps from the base case to k-th step


## Example: Integer Summation

## Claim:

$$
\text { Let } S(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \text {. Then } S(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \text {. }
$$

## Base Case:

We show the statement is true for $n=1$. As $S(1)=1=\frac{1(2)}{2}$, the statement holds.

## Induction Hypothesis:

We assume $S(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

## Example: Integer Summation

## Inductive Step:

We show $S(n+1)=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$. Note that $S(n+1)=S(n)+n+1$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(n+1) & =S(n)+n+1 \\
& =\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+n+1 \\
& =(n+1)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Sum of Powers of 2

Question: What is the sum of the first $n$ powers of 2?

$$
2^{0}+2^{1}+2^{2}+\ldots+2^{n}
$$

Some observations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=1: 2^{0}=1 \\
& k=2: 2^{0}+2^{1}=1+2=3 \\
& k=3: 2^{0}+2^{1}+2^{2}=1+2+4=7 \\
& k=4: 2^{0}+2^{1}+2^{2}+2^{3}=1+2+4+8=15
\end{aligned}
$$

For general $n$, the sum is $2^{\wedge} n-1$

## Example: Sum of Powers of 2

Base Case: $\mathrm{n}=1$. Clearly, the sum of the first one power is $2^{\wedge} 0=1$. At the same time, 2^1-1=1.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume the sum of the first $k$ powers of 2 is $2^{k}-1$ Inductive Step: The sum of the first $k+1$ powers of 2 is

$$
2^{0}+2^{1}+2^{2}+\ldots+2^{(k-1)}+2^{k}
$$

sum of the first k powers of 2
by inductive hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2^{k}-1 \\
& =2\left(2^{k}\right)-1=2^{k+1}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Finding the Counterfeit Coin

## Problem Statement:

- You are given a set of three seemingly identical coins, two of which are real and one of which is counterfeit.
- The counterfeit coin weighs more than the rest of the coins
- You are given a balance. Using only one weighing on the balance, find the counterfeit coin.


## How to do it

Case 1:


## How to do it

Case 2:


## How to do it

Case 3:


## Example: Finding the Counterfeit Coin

## A Harder Problem:

- You are given a set of nine seemingly identical coins, eight of which are real and one of which is counterfeit.
- The counterfeit coin weighs more than the rest of the coins
- You are given a balance. Using only two weighing on the balance, find the counterfeit coin.


## How to do it

Case 1:


## How to do it

Case 2:


## How to do it

Case 3:


## Example: Finding the Counterfeit Coin

Theorem: If exactly one coin in a group of $3^{\wedge} n$ coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only n weighings on a balance.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})$ be the following statement:
If exactly one coin in a group of $3^{\wedge} n$ coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only n weighings on a balance.

Base Case: When $\mathrm{n}=1$, already proven.
Inductive Hypothesis: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$ is true for some arbitrary integer $\mathrm{k}>0$.

## Example: Finding the Counterfeit Coin

Inductive Step: For the $3^{\wedge}\{k+1\}$ coins,

- Split the coins into three groups of $3^{\wedge} k$ coins each.
- Weigh two of the groups against one another.
- If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin.
- Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale.
- Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of $3^{\wedge} k$ coins containing the heavy coin.
- Then use k more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.


## Example of Strong Induction

Theorem: Every integer greater than or equal to 2 can be factored into primes.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})$ be the statement that an integer n greater than or equal to 2 can be factored into primes.

Base Case: For $\mathrm{n}=2$, state is true because 2 is itself a prime.
Induction Hypothesis: Assume that for all integers less than or equal to $k$, the statement holds.

## Example of Strong Induction

## Inductive Step: Consider the number $\mathrm{k}+1$.

- Case 1: $k+1$ is a prime number.
- The number is a prime factorization of itself, so the statement $P(k+1)$ holds.
- Case 2: $k+1$ is not a prime number.
- We know $k+1=p \times q$ for integers $p>=2$ and $q>=2$, where both $p$ and $q$ are less or equal to $k$.
- By inductive hypothesis, both $p$ and $q$ can be expressed as prime factorizations.
- We can get the prime factorization for $k+1$ by multiplying the prime factorizations of p and q


## Summary

Template of Inductive Proof:

- Base Case: Prove the most basic case.
- Induction Hypothesis: Assume that the statement holds for some k or for all numbers less than or equal to $k$.
- Inductive Step: Prove the statement holds for the next step based on induction hypothesis.

